

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PAPER

04/20/2007

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
10/789,303	02/26/2004	Kelly Reed Clark	28335/40012	8089	_
	7590 04/20/2007 GERSTEIN & BORUN LI	EXAM	EXAMINER		
233 S. WACKER DRIVE, SUITE 6300			BURKHART, MICHAEL D		
SEARS TOWE CHICAGO, IL		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_	
			1633		
				· - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/789,303	CLARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael D. Burkhart	1633	

	Michael D. Burkhart	1633					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 26 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is 	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mut of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.11 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 19. Claim(s) rejected: 1-14, 18 and 21-38. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	et be entered s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a i).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	•				
 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached information Displaceure Statement(s). 		i condition for allowar	nce because:				
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☐ Other: See continuation sheet. 	, гто/об/06) марег No(s)						

Page 2

Continuation Sheet

3(a) Claim 1 has been amended to recite that AAV Rep 78 and 68 proteins are expressed at the level when under control of the p5 promoter, as opposed to the previous claim scope, which included expression of the Rep 78 and 68 proteins at about the level when under control of the p5 promoter. This narrowing limitation was not previously recited in the claims. Therefore, this limitation requires re-evaluation of the art of record, a new search to determine if the new claim scope is taught in the prior art, and consideration of the specification for support for the new limitation. Claim 1 has been further amended to recite that the Rep 52 and 40 proteins are overexpressed in comparison to expression when under control of the AAV p19 promoter. This limitation was not previously recited in the claims. Therefore, this limitation requires re-evaluation of the art of record, a new search to determine if the new claim scope is taught in the prior art, and consideration of the specification for support for the new limitation.

Claims 3 and 10 have been amended to recite that AAV helper functions are provided by infecting a cell with a helper virus of AAV or by expressing helper virus proteins in the cell.

These are limitations not previously recited in the claims. Therefore, the limitations require reevaluation of the art of record, a new search to determine if the new claim scope is taught in the prior art, and consideration of the specification for support for the new limitation.

Claim 9 has been amended to recite that the Ad SV-20 retains the ability to introduce an expression cassette encoding AAV Rep 52 and 40 into the cell. This limitation was not previously recited in the claims. Therefore, the limitation requires re-evaluation of the art of

Application/Control Number: 10/789,303

Art Unit: 1633

record, a new search to determine if the new claim scope is taught in the prior art, and consideration of the specification for support for the new limitation.

11. All of applicant's arguments are directed to the amended claims. Because the amendments have not been entered, these arguments are moot.

13. Although previously indicated as allowable, Claim 19 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Burkhart whose telephone number is (571) 272-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach can be reached on (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Michael D. Burkhart

Examiner

Art Unit 1633 Sirth D. Pruke

> SCOTT D. PRIEBE, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 3